



SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM (ITS) PRIORITY CORRIDOR STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING

Tuesday, February 1, 2000 Host: Caltrans District 7 120 S Spring Street, Room 461 Los Angeles, CA

Networking 9:30-10:00 AM Regular Meeting: 10:00 A.M.- 2:00 P.M.

1.	10:00 a.m.	Welcome and Self Introductions	*
\{\}	(Duve/West)	Host: Frank Quon, Caltrans District 7	8
*********	*****		************

	Signal Si	ACTION: Report
§ (Duve/West)	Gilbert Tafoya, Caltrans Information Systems Service Center (ISSC)	}
}	will provide an update on Caltrans' capabilities to support the	}
\{	Priority Corridor Showcase Network. The discussion will include the	X
8	potential for Caltrans cooperation and support of local, regional and	}
}}	private sector projects and activities that eventually plug into the	}
}	Showcase Network to establish a fully integrated statewide	}
%	transportation management and information system.	\

«	~~~~				
3.	11:30 a.m.	Steering Committee Business and Chairman's Report (John Duve, Chair; Tom West, Vice Chair)			
	(Cobb)	3.1 Consent Item Synopsis of the January 4, 1999 Regular Steering Committee Meeting	ACTION: Approve		
	(Duve)	3.2 Letter to Caltrans' Director The Chair will present a copy of the letter sent to Caltrans' Director Medina requesting assistance to assure the timely deployment of the Showcase and Priority Corridor transportation management systems.	ACTION: Distribute		
	(Marston)	3.3 Software Rights Pam Marston, FHWA, will lead the discussion of contract language regarding Software Rights.	ACTION: Review and Determine Next Steps		
80000000000000000000000000000000000000	(West)	3.4 Approve Endorsement of CAATS Statewide Initiatives CAATS is asking for Priority Corridor and individual agency endorsement of their Statewide Initiatives.	ACTION: Approve transmittal of Priority Corridor Endorsement		

((Smith)	***************************************	ACTION: Approve the
	3.5 New Directions: An Action Summary for the Southern California ITS Priority Corridor	Action Summary
	On January 4, 2000, the Steering Committee scheduled this item for action at the February 1, 2000 regular meeting. A staff report accompanies this action item. The Steering Committee will receive the full Strategic Guide in mid-February in time for discussion at the March 7, 2000 meeting.	
(Quon)	3.6 Showcase Project Director and Staff Appointment Frank Quon will give an update on the appointment of Showcase Project Director Ali Zaghari and Program staff.	ACTION: Report
(Duve)	3.7 Election of Steering Committee Second Vice Chair. At the January 2000 meeting, the new chairman recommended that the Steering Committee structure be reorganized to include a second vice-chair position. The new structure would provide for three officers to be drawn from the Steering Committee membership. The new structure would enhance Steering Committee leadership by in effect assigning one Vice Chair to focus on corridor-wide matters of planning and programming and the other Vice Chair on matters pertaining to corridor-wide operations. The Vice Chairs would be responsible for forwarding macro agenda items pertaining to the management and operation of the overall corridor. The Vice chairs would gain consensus for recommended actions through consultation with Steering Committee members and Subcommittees.	ACTION: Approve/Elect /Appoint
	It is recommended that the Steering Committee approve the new position of Second Vice Chair and elect a member to this position. Also, subcommittee chair positions will be elected or appointed at this time to refill positions any vacated positions due to the election of officers (i.e., TAS).	

sseeses	,xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	,	,
3 4. }	{ <u>12:45 p.m.</u> }	Showcase Program and Project Director's Report	}
	}	Showcase Project Director Ali Zaghari	}
{ }	{	}	}
§ }	{ (<u>Zaghari</u>)	4.1 Status of Showcase Program and Projects	ACTION: Review and
}}	}	Ali Zaghari and the Showcase Consultant, Iteris, will provide an	Comment.
{ {	{	update an overview of the Showcase Program, the Showcase	{
{{ }	{	Network Development and related projects.	{
% {	{	{	{

	(Zaghari)	4.2 Update on Kernel V 0.3 Throughput	,	Review and
}}	}	The Steering Committee at its January 2000 meeting asked the	Comment	}
} }	{	$\{$ staff and the TAS to review the consultant recommendation and $\{$	}	}
8 8	{	advise the Steering Committee how to manage the issue of the	{	{
} }	}	$\$ degraded throughput resulting from the V 0.3 Acceptance Test. $\$	}	}
}}	}	The TAS will review this item on January 25, 2000.	}	}
% {	{	{	{	{
§ {	{ (All)	{ 4.3 Other	{	{
3	}	This is an opportunity to discuss other Showcase elements of	ACTION:	Comment }
} }	{	interest to the Committee and to follow up on previous	}	}
({	direction.	{	{
} }	§	}	}	}
) ************************************	·····

S	,~~~~	,xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx		;xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
3	5. §	} <u>1:50 p.m.</u>	Public Comment	ACTION: Receive and
ŝ	}	(All)	This is the opportunity for comments/announcements from the	File }
8	{	{	Steering Committee and consultants on items of general interest	{
Ş	{	{	in or effecting the Corridor.	{
3	}	}	}	}
-8			***************************************	\$0000000000000000000000000000000000000

3	,~~~~	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		······
S	6.	§ <u>2:00 p.m.</u>	Set Agenda – The Next Regular Meeting of the SC ITS	ACTION: Set Agenda
3	}	(Duve)	Priority Corridor Steering Committee is March 7, 2000	}
Ş	}	}	at the Caltrans District 8 Office located in San Bernardino.	8
Ş	{ {		{	§
Ş	{	(Duve)	Adjourn	8
S	}	§ ` ´	}	8
8	فمممممة	laaaaaaaaaaaaaa	\$aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	\$2222222222222222

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PRIORITY CORRIDOR STEERING COMMITTEE AGENDA/ACTION ITEM REQUEST DRAFT

То:	John Duve, Chair Southern California ITS Priority Corridor Steering Committee		
From:	George C. Smith Transportation Planning Program California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)		
	Prepared By: George C. Smith		
Date:	January 25, 2000		
Subject:	New Directions: An Action Summary for the Southern California ITS Priority Corridor (Action Summary)		
Action I	Desired:		
	X Approval at Meeting: <u>Action Summary</u> Review and Comment Information Only		

ISSUE:

The issue for the Steering Committee is whether to approve <u>New Directions: An Action Summary for the Southern California ITS Priority Corridor (Action Summary)</u> at this meeting.

SUMMARY – PRO/CON ARGUMENTS:

Pro Arguments

- The Action Summary has been through a complete and thorough review by the Steering Committee and other affected parties throughout the Corridor. The resulting document has been achieved through a process which has given everyone many opportunities for input and review and is the culmination of concepts and projects from the Corridor;
- Steering Committee members would be able to use the document as part of their sponsoring agency's planning and programming activities; and
- The document will provide a basis for discussion at the March 7, 2000 meeting when the Steering Committee will have the final draft of the overall <u>Strategic Guide</u> for review. (The Strategic Guide is formally titled, "New Directions: A Strategic Guide for the Southern California ITS Priority Corridor.")

Con Arguments

• Approving the <u>Action Summary</u> before the overall <u>Strategic Guide</u> could be premature. However, since the <u>Action Summary</u> is merely a reflection of the <u>Strategic Guide</u> and changes that were suggested for the <u>Action Summary</u> have also been incorporated into the <u>Strategic Guide</u>, the staff feel this is not an issue.

DISCUSSION AND EFFECT ON PROJECT AND/OR SHOWCASE PROGRAM:

The <u>Action Summary</u> supports an open architecture that is built upon and consistent with the National ITS Architecture and related standards. While the <u>Strategic Guide</u> looks at medium and long-term efforts, its short-term recommendations (2000 to 2005) are the main focus of the planning effort. The <u>Action Summary</u> highlights the short-term actions needed to achieve the recommendations of the <u>Strategic Guide</u>. These recommendations include:

- Completing the Showcase Program, the Priority Corridor's significant intermodal transportation management and information system demonstration and initial deployment;
- Continuing the innovative cooperation begun by the Steering Committee to help ensure the timely deployment of a backbone ITS infrastructure throughout the Priority Corridor and Southern California as a whole:
- Mainstreaming the recommendations of the <u>Action Summary</u> and <u>Strategic Guide</u> into the traditional transportation planning and programming process, thereby assisting Southern California agencies to meet the requirements of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA -21) for funding ITS deployment; and
- Ensuring that Corridor ITS network services, operations, organizations, technology and communications are developed and consistent with the National ITS Architecture and related standards efforts.

As ITS products and services are implemented in the regions and across jurisdictions, the <u>Action Summary</u> will help provide for systems and projects that help ensure system compatibility and interoperability.

Examples of short-term (2000 to 2005) systems are:

- A standard approach for monitoring and managing transportation operations traffic and transit;
- A standard approach for collecting and disseminating traveler information;
- Information tailored for the goods movement industry;
- Coordinated strategies deploying visual message signs (VMS) and highway advisory radio (HAR); and
- Corridorwide transit fare integration and automation.

The projects do not preclude agencies from pursuing their own ITS systems. They also do not require agencies to commit any of their resources to implement the proposals. As the Corridorwide projects/systems are approved for programming, lead agencies will be designated.

ESTIMATED COST:

The Action Summary designates eleven projects for deployment in the short term. (The <u>Strategic Guide</u> includes 19 proposed systems and projects overall.) The estimated cost of these short-term services is \$55.1 million. This investment will help support the deployment of almost \$2.5 billion of ITS services in the four regions of the Corridor. The costs estimated to implement proposed Corridorwide projects and systems do not imply a new, dedicated funding source; nor are they a call on existing programmed funds. In some cases, however, the estimated costs include funding that has already been provided for Showcase projects because these projects provide the backbone, or foundation, for the future ITS system in the Corridor.

TIME FACTOR:

The proposed schedule for approval of the Action Summary

• February 1, 2000 Approve the Action Summary

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Steering Committee approve the <u>Action Summary</u> .				
Approved:				
John Duve, Chair	Date			